Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/029,971	BYSTED ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Joseph D. Torres	2133
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Arthur Klussendorf</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 March 2005	Time: <u>1pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A	· .	
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Docket Clerk, Arthur Klussendorf, confirmed that the case went abandoned.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Examiner Initiate	d Interview Summary	Paper No. 20050318